

Online appendix
Closer to one great pool? Evidence from structural breaks in oil
price differentials

Michael Plante* Grant Strickler †

August 6, 2019

Preliminary. Please do not distribute or cite without permission.

Abstract

This online appendix contains additional information and results.

Keywords: crude oil price differentials, oil, structural breaks, refining

JEL Codes: Q40, C22

*Corresponding author, Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas, 2200 N Pearl St, Dallas, TX 75201, michael.plante@dal.frb.org.

†Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas, 2200 N Pearl St, Dallas, TX 75201, grant.strickler@dal.frb.org.

A Oil price data

Algerian Saharan - HAVER Mnemonic Q830AGS@OGJ. API gravity of 44.0 and sulfur content of 0.1, as reported in OPEC Annual Statistical Bulletins. HAVER reports the original source as OPEC.

Bonny Light - HAVER Mnemonic Q830NGBL@OGJ. API gravity of 36.7 and sulfur content of 0.1, as reported in OPEC Annual Statistical Bulletins.

Brent - Bloomberg Ticker EUCRBRDT. Bloomberg states the API gravity is greater than 35 while sulfur content is less than 1 percent. The paper reports API gravity and sulfur content from Platts. This price is FOB at Sullom Voe terminal in the Shetland Islands, UK.

Dubai - Bloomberg Ticker PGCRDUBA. API gravity of 31, sulfur content 1.7. This is FOB at Dubai, UAE.

Heavy Louisiana Sweet - Bloomberg Ticker USCRHLSE. API gravity of 33.7, sulfur content 0.39. This is a spot price at Empire, LA and is FOB.

Louisiana Light Sweet - Bloomberg Ticker USCRLLS. API gravity of 35.7, sulfur content 0.44. This is a spot price at St. James, LA and is FOB.

Mars - Bloomberg Ticker USCRHLSE. API gravity of 33.7, sulfur content 0.39. This is a spot price at Empire, LA and is FOB.

Maya - Bloomberg Ticker LACRMAUS. API gravity of 21.1, sulfur content 3.38. Price is derived from the formula for Maya sales to the U.S. Gulf Coast: $[0.4*(WTS+3.5\% \text{ Fuel Oil}) + [0.1*(LLS + \text{Dated Brent})]$. Price is FOB.

Oman - Bloomberg Ticker PGCROMAN. API gravity of 33, sulfur content 1.11. This is FOB at Muscat, Oman.

Saudi Heavy - Bloomberg Tickers PGCRAHUS, PGCRAHEU, and PGCRARHV for US, Europe and Asia, respectively. API gravity of 27, sulfur content 2.8. Asia price is FOB at Yanbu or Ras Tanura.

Tapis-Bloomberg Ticker APCRTAPI. API gravity of 44.6 and sulfur content of 0.028. Loading port is reported as Kertih, Malaysia.

Urals-HAVER Mnemonic is P922URL@INTDAILY for daily and Q830URU@OGJ for monthly. API gravity of 31.7, sulfur content 1.35. HAVER reports the original source for monthly data as OPEC.

WTI Cushing-Bloomberg Ticker USCRWTIC. API gravity of 39, sulfur content 0.34. This is a spot price at Cushing, OK and is FOB.

WTI Midland-Bloomberg Ticker USCRWTIM. API gravity of 39, sulfur content 0.34. This is a spot price at Midland, TX and is FOB.

West Texas Sour-Bloomberg Ticker USCRWTSM API gravity 34, sulfur content 1.9. This

is a spot price at Midland, TX and is FOB.

B Summary statistics for daily data

Table B.1 shows some summary statistics for the series, based on a common sample starting from 1997 onwards.¹ In line with the previous literature, we find that the differentials are typically larger for those pairs of crude streams that are further apart in terms of API gravity and sulfur content.² For example, the mean differential between LLS and HLS was only 1.5 percent while it was almost 23 percent for the LLS-Maya differential.

We also find that the greater the differences in API gravity and sulfur content, the more volatile the differential tends to be. One potential explanation for this is that the degree of substitutability between any two grades of crude is inversely related to the quality differences of those crudes. For example, LLS and HLS are quite similar and as a result should be highly substitutable for each other in the refining complex in the USGC (and elsewhere). This should ensure that their prices generally do not deviate too far from one another, minimizing volatility in the percent-differential. On the other hand, LLS and Maya are very different from each other and refiners who prefer to process one over the other are likely to be hesitant to switch back and forth over short periods of time. This could require large price swings to clear the market, which would lead to volatile differentials.

Table B.2 presents summary statistics for the across-area differentials. The upper panel shows the summary statistics for differentials between crudes of different types, the lower panel for same types. In general, the statistics are similar in nature to the within-area differentials. On average, we find that the means are greater for those pairs of crudes with larger differences in their API gravity and sulfur content. However, a few differentials do not exhibit this property. The LLS and HLS differentials with Brent are both positive while the two WTI-LLS differentials have negative means.

¹Summary statistics for the full samples are available from the authors. They are generally not very different from the results in the table.

²See, for example, [Bacon and Tordo \(2005\)](#) and [Giulietti et al. \(2015\)](#).

Table B.1: Oil price differentials within areas

Differential	API difference	Sulfur difference	Mean	Standard deviation
Midland, TX				
WTIM-WTS	5.0	-1.56	0.046	0.042
U.S. Gulf Coast				
LLS-HLS	2.0	0.05	0.015	0.016
LLS-Mars	6.8	-1.61	0.108	0.061
LLS-Maya	14.6	-2.94	0.227	0.109
HLS-Mars	4.8	-1.66	0.094	0.056
HLS-Maya	12.6	-2.99	0.212	0.102
Mars-Maya	7.8	-1.33	0.118	0.064
Europe / Atlantic Basin				
Brent-Urals	6.6	-1.03	0.043	0.036
Brent-SHE	11.1	-2.39	0.138	0.091
Urals-SHE	4.5	-1.36	0.078	0.060
Middle East / Asia				
Tapis-Oman	11.6	-1.07	0.093	0.053
Tapis-Dubai	13.6	-1.67	0.103	0.055
Tapis-SHA	17.6	-2.77	0.157	0.090
Oman-Dubai	2.0	-0.60	0.010	0.020
Oman-SHA	6.0	-1.70	0.063	0.058
Dubai-SHA	4.0	-1.10	0.053	0.056

Notes: These statistics are based on a sample from January 1997 to December 2018. For Brent-Urals, the sample runs from January 2002 to November 2013.

Table B.2: Oil price differentials across areas**Crudes of different type**

Differential	API difference	Sulfur difference	Mean	Standard deviation
Light-medium differentials				
Tapis-Urals	13.1	-1.41	0.099	0.049
Tapis-Mars	15.7	-2.02	0.125	0.061
Brent-Oman	5.1	-0.69	0.040	0.044
Brent-Dubai	7.1	-1.29	0.050	0.047
Brent-Mars	9.2	-1.64	0.072	0.046
LLS-Oman	2.7	-0.66	0.078	0.066
LLS-Urals	4.2	-1.00	0.080	0.059
LLS-Dubai	4.7	-1.26	0.087	0.069
HLS-Oman	0.7	-0.71	0.062	0.062
HLS-Urals	2.2	-1.05	0.065	0.052
HLS-Dubai	2.7	-1.31	0.072	0.065
Light-heavy differentials				
Tapis-Maya	23.5	-3.35	0.244	0.098
Brent-Maya	17	-2.97	0.190	0.086
Medium-heavy differentials				
Oman-Maya	11.9	-2.28	0.150	0.075
Urals-Maya	10.4	-1.94	0.129	0.060
Dubai-Maya	9.9	-1.68	0.141	0.077
Crudes of similar type				
Light-light differentials				
WTIC-LLS	3.3	-0.10	-0.040	0.059
WTIM-LLS	3.3	-0.10	-0.057	0.076
LLS-Tapis	-8.9	0.41	-0.016	0.050
LLS-Brent	-2.4	0.03	0.037	0.045
HLS-Tapis	-10.9	0.36	-0.031	0.048
HLS-Brent	-4.4	-0.02	0.022	0.042
Medium-medium differentials				
Oman-Urals	1.5	-0.34	0.001	0.035
Oman-Mars	4.1	-0.95	0.032	0.049
Urals-Dubai	0.5	-0.26	0.011	0.034
Urals-Mars	2.6	-0.61	0.016	0.037
Dubai-Mars	2.1	-0.35	0.022	0.053

Notes: These statistics are based on a sample from January 1997 to December 2018. For Urals differentials, the sample runs from January 2002 to November 2013.

C Unit root tests using daily data

In this section of the appendix we present a full set of results for the unit root tests that use daily data. Results for the unit root tests using monthly data are presented later.

Previous works in the literature have tested for the stationarity of oil price differentials using unit root tests, for example [Fattouh \(2010\)](#), [Giulietti et al. \(2015\)](#) and [Agerton and Upton Jr. \(2019\)](#). As discussed in [Perron \(1989\)](#) and many papers since then, the structural breaks identified in the paper can bias the results one gets from such tests. *A priori*, our expectation is that the differentials should be stationary once we have taken into account structural breaks. Our reasoning behind this expectation is similar to the logic of the previous literature (and the law of one price literature): arbitrage across locations and across types of oil should prevent a differential from becoming exceptionally large in either direction for significant periods of time. However, changes in the nature of that arbitrage could generate breaks of the type we have documented, and not taking them into account could lead to the appearance of non-stationarity.

Our procedure to test for unit roots is straight forward. We run an Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) test on each differential, considering cases where the optimal lag length is chosen using the SIC. We then perform an ADF breakpoint unit root test which searches for the break that minimizes the intercept break t-statistic, trimming 15 percent of the sample. A differential is flagged by us any time one of the tests fails to reject the null of a unit root at the 1 percent significance level.

The results are reported in [Tables C.1](#) and [C.2](#). We find that the null of a unit root is strongly rejected in all cases when using the breakpoint unit root test. Even when using the standard ADF test, we find only two quality differentials where the null is not rejected and both of those use the Urals data, for which we have a much smaller sample.

Table C.1: Unit root test results for daily data

Differential	SIC	
	ADF	ADF (BP)
WTIM-WTS	-4.48 (<0.01)	-9.80 (<0.01)
LLS-HLS	-9.34 (<0.01)	-10.87 (<0.01)
LLS-Mars	-4.66 (<0.01)	-8.14 (<0.01)
LLS-Maya	-3.51 (<0.01)	-5.66 (<0.01)
HLS-Mars	-4.94 (<0.01)	-7.82 (<0.01)
HLS-Maya	-4.14 (<0.01)	-6.23 (<0.01)
Mars-Maya	-6.07 (<0.01)	-8.83 (<0.01)
Brent-Urals	-4.11 (<0.01)	-7.29 (<0.01)
Brent-SHE	-5.41 (<0.01)	-8.20 (<0.01)
Urals-SHE	-8.54 (<0.01)	-11.09 (<0.01)
Tapis-Oman	-7.06 (<0.01)	-8.45 (<0.01)
Tapis-Dubai	-6.83 (<0.01)	-8.47 (<0.01)
Tapis-SHA	-5.60 (<0.01)	-6.39 (<0.01)
Oman-Dubai	-4.81 (<0.01)	-6.83 (<0.01)
Oman-SHA	-5.33 (<0.01)	-5.93 (<0.01)
Dubai-SHA	-5.67 (<0.01)	-7.20 (<0.01)


Notes: For each differential, the first row shows the test statistics for the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) and the ADF breakpoint (ADF BP) tests. The second row shows the p-value for the test. Bold text identifies a case where the null of a unit root would not be rejected at a one percent significance level.

Table C.2: Unit root test results for daily data

Differential	SIC		Differential	SIC	
	ADF	ADF (BP)		ADF	ADF (BP)
Tapis-Urals	-6.09 (<0.01)	-7.97 (<0.01)	Oman-Maya	-7.08 (<0.01)	-8.43 (<0.01)
Tapis-Mars	-7.97 (<0.01)	-11.42 (<0.01)	Urals-Maya	-6.03 (<0.01)	-6.63 (<0.01)
Brent-Oman	-9.04 (<0.01)	-9.75 (<0.01)	Dubai-Maya	-6.88 (<0.01)	-8.07 (<0.01)
Brent-Dubai	-7.92 (<0.01)	-9.31 (<0.01)	WTIC-LLS	-3.91 (<0.01)	-6.25 (<0.01)
Brent-Mars	-11.25 (<0.01)	-12.05 (<0.01)	WTIM-LLS	-3.30 (0.02)	-5.57 (<0.01)
LLS-Oman	-5.42 (<0.01)	-6.25 (<0.01)	LLS-Tapis	-9.54 (<0.01)	-12.56 (<0.01)
LLS-Urals	-3.02 (0.03)	-8.36 (<0.01)	HLS-Tapis	-12.06 (<0.01)	-13.66 (<0.01)
LLS-Dubai	-4.93 (<0.01)	-6.23 (<0.01)	LLS-Brent	-4.95 (<0.01)	-13.40 (<0.01)
HLS-Oman	-6.35 (<0.01)	-10.85 (<0.01)	HLS-Brent	-6.17 (<0.01)	-14.45 (<0.01)
HLS-Urals	-2.71 (0.07)	-9.44 (<0.01)	Oman-Urals	-8.44 (<0.01)	-10.93 (<0.01)
HLS-Dubai	-5.80 (<0.01)	-10.78 (<0.01)	Oman-Mars	-12.60 (<0.01)	-13.04 (<0.01)
Tapis-Maya	-5.46 (<0.01)	-7.12 (<0.01)	Urals-Dubai	-8.85 (<0.01)	-9.59 (<0.01)
Brent-Maya	-5.14 (<0.01)	-6.98 (<0.01)	Urals-Mars	-6.46 (<0.01)	-10.57 (<0.01)
			Dubai-Mars	-9.18 (<0.01)	-12.91 (<0.01)

Notes: For each differential, the first row shows the test statistics for the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) and the ADF breakpoint (ADF BP) tests. The second row shows the p-value for the test. Bold text identifies a case where the null of a unit root would not be rejected at a one percent significance level.

Figure D.1: Residual fuel oil differentials



Notes: Figure plots log-differentials of spot prices for gasoline, heating oil, LLS and Mars relative to high sulfur fuel oil in the U.S. Gulf Coast using monthly data from January 1997 to December 2018.

D Residual fuel oil differential chart

We calculated differentials between the monthly average spot price of high-sulfur residual fuel oil and the following spot prices, all for delivery in the Gulf Coast: heating oil, gasoline, LLS and Mars. Figure D.1 plots the monthly time series of these differentials since 1997. The left panel shows the heating oil and gasoline differentials to fuel oil, while the right shows the differentials involving crude oil. There is remarkable similarity between these and many of the differentials plotted in the first figure of the paper. We note here that this is not just a Gulf Coast phenomenon: the chart looks very similar if one uses product prices for New York Harbor and replaces LLS and Mars prices with Brent and Dubai.

E Additional results using monthly data

In this section, we present results based on monthly data which includes a larger set of crude oil prices. Table [E.1](#) lists all of the crude oils considered plus their properties. The additional crude oils are Algerian Saharan, Bonny Light, and Saudi Arabian Heavy for the US. We only consider within-area differentials using the relevant Saudi Heavy price for each area. Summary statistics for the within-area and across-area differentials are presented in Tables [E.2](#) and [E.3](#), respectively.

We report breakpoint results for crude oils of different quality in Tables [E.4](#) and [E.5](#). For the breakpoint test we set the trimming parameter to 0.15, which sets the minimum regime length at roughly 3 years. The procedure used to estimate the long-run variance-covariance matrix is the same as with the daily data. We report results for a statistical significance of 5 percent. We have chosen to be somewhat less restrictive with the monthly data as we have significantly few observations but the results are not overly sensitive to choosing a more stringent level of significance. The evolution of the means can be found in Tables [E.6](#), [E.7](#), and [E.8](#). Summary statistics pre and post-break can be found in [E.9](#). Unit root test results are shown in Tables [E.10](#) and [E.11](#).

Table E.1: Oil price series

Name	API gravity	Sulfur	API category	Sulfur category
Cushing, OK				
WTI Cushing (WTIC)	39.0	0.34	Light	Sweet
Midland, TX				
WTI Midland (WTIM)	39.0	0.34	Light	Sweet
West Texas Sour (WTS)	34.0	1.90	Light	Sour
U.S. Gulf Coast (USGC)				
Heavy Louisiana Sweet (HLS)	33.7	0.39	Light	Sweet
Louisiana Light Sweet (LLS)	35.7	0.44	Light	Sweet
Mars	28.9	2.05	Medium	Sour
Maya	21.1	3.38	Heavy	Sour
Saudi Heavy to US (SHU)	27.0	2.80	Medium	Sour
Europe/Atlantic Basin				
Algerian Saharan (Saharan)	44.0	0.10	Light	Sweet
Bonny Light (Bonny)	36.7	0.10	Light	Sweet
Brent	38.1	0.41	Light	Sweet
Saudi Heavy to Europe (SHE)	27.0	2.80	Medium	Sour
Urals	31.5	1.44	Medium	Sour
Middle East/Asia				
Dubai	31.0	1.70	Medium	Sour
Oman	33.0	1.10	Medium	Sour
Saudi Heavy to Asia (SHA)	27.0	2.80	Medium	Sour
Tapis	44.6	0.03	Light	Sweet

Table E.2: Oil price differentials within areas

Differential	API difference	Sulfur difference	Mean	Standard deviation
Midland, TX				
WTIM-WTS	5.0	-1.56	0.046	0.040
U.S. Gulf Coast				
LLS-HLS	2.0	0.05	0.015	0.014
LLS-Mars	6.8	-1.61	0.110	0.059
LLS-SHU	8.7	-2.36	0.195	0.115
LLS-Maya	14.6	-2.94	0.230	0.107
HLS-Mars	4.8	-1.66	0.094	0.054
HLS-SHU	6.7	-2.41	0.180	0.109
HLS-Maya	12.6	-2.99	0.213	0.100
Mars-SHU	1.9	-0.75	0.086	0.069
Mars-Maya	7.8	-1.33	0.120	0.060
SHU-Maya	5.9	-0.58	0.032	0.056
Europe / Atlantic Basin				
Saharan-Brent	5.9	-0.31	0.009	0.015
Saharan-Bonny	7.4	0.00	-0.004	0.016
Saharan-Urals	12.5	-1.34	0.050	0.037
Saharan-SHE	17.0	-2.70	0.147	0.091
Brent-Bonny	1.4	0.31	-0.013	0.016
Brent-Urals	6.6	-1.03	0.040	0.035
Brent-SHE	11.1	-2.39	0.138	0.087
Bonny-Urals	5.2	-1.34	0.053	0.036
Bonny-SHE	9.7	-2.70	0.151	0.083
Urals-SHE	4.5	-1.36	0.098	0.070
Middle East / Asia				
Tapis-Oman	11.6	-1.07	0.093	0.049
Tapis-Dubai	13.6	-1.67	0.103	0.051
Tapis-SHA	17.6	-2.77	0.157	0.086
Oman-Dubai	2.0	-0.60	0.010	0.018
Oman-SHA	6.0	-1.70	0.063	0.056
Dubai-SHA	4.0	-1.10	0.053	0.053

Notes: These statistics are based on a sample from January 1997 to December 2018.

Table E.3: Oil price differentials across areas

Crudes of different type

Differential	API difference	Sulfur difference	Mean	Standard deviation
Light-medium differentials				
Tapis-Urals	13.1	-1.41	0.093	0.053
Tapis-Mars	15.7	-2.02	0.126	0.053
Saharan-Oman	11.0	-1.00	0.049	0.043
Saharan-Dubai	13.0	-1.60	0.059	0.045
Saharan-Mars	15.1	-1.95	0.081	0.046
Brent-Oman	5.1	-0.69	0.040	0.038
Brent-Dubai	7.1	-1.29	0.050	0.041
Brent-Mars	9.2	-1.64	0.072	0.041
Bonny-Oman	3.7	-1.00	0.053	0.039
Bonny-Dubai	5.7	-1.60	0.063	0.041
Bonny-Mars	7.8	-1.95	0.085	0.041
LLS-Oman	2.7	-0.66	0.077	0.062
LLS-Urals	4.2	-1.0	0.077	0.066
LLS-Dubai	4.7	-1.26	0.087	0.065
HLS-Oman	0.7	-0.71	0.062	0.057
HLS-Urals	2.2	-1.05	0.062	0.059
HLS-Dubai	2.7	-1.31	0.072	0.060
Light-heavy differentials				
Tapis-Maya	23.5	-3.35	0.244	0.094
Saharan-Maya	22.9	-3.28	0.199	0.089
Brent-Maya	17.0	-2.97	0.191	0.084
Bonny-Maya	15.6	-3.28	0.204	0.082
Medium-heavy differentials				
Oman-Maya	11.9	-2.28	0.151	0.070
Urals-Maya	10.4	-1.94	0.151	0.070
Dubai-Maya	9.9	-1.68	0.141	0.072
Crudes of similar type				
Light-light differentials				
WTIC-LLS	3.3	-0.10	-0.040	0.058
WTIM-LLS	3.3	-0.10	-0.057	0.074
LLS-Tapis	-8.9	0.41	-0.016	0.043
LLS-Saharan	-8.3	0.34	0.028	0.040
LLS-Brent	-2.4	0.03	0.037	0.041
LLS-Bonny	-1.0	0.34	0.024	0.047
HLS-Tapis	-10.9	0.36	-0.031	0.040
HLS-Saharan	-10.3	0.29	0.014	0.036
HLS-Brent	-4.4	-0.02	0.022	0.037
HLS-Bonny	-3.0	0.29	0.009	0.042
Medium-medium differentials				
Oman-Urals	1.5	-0.34	0.000	0.034
Oman-Mars	4.1	-0.95	0.032	0.039
Urals-Dubai	0.5	-0.26	0.010	0.036
Urals-Mars	2.6	-0.61	0.032	0.044
Dubai-Mars	2.1	-0.35	0.022	0.044

Notes: These statistics are based on a sample from January 1997 to December 2018.

Table E.4: Breakpoint test results for crudes of different qualities

Part 1: Within-area differentials						
Differential	Break 1	Break 2	Break 3	F-statistic		
				0 vs. 1	1 vs. 2	2 vs. 3
Midland, TX						
WTIM-WTS	11/2007	02/2013	-	115.19	10.22	-
U.S. Gulf Coast						
LLS-Mars	01/2009	12/2001	-	43.47	23.30	-
LLS-SHU	05/2006	09/2009	02/2002	32.53	17.98	15.45
LLS-Maya	05/2007	-	-	61.36	-	-
HLS-Mars	04/2008	12/2001	-	37.87	15.62	-
HLS-SHU	05/2005	02/2009	02/2002	31.53	31.28	14.01
HLS-Maya	05/2007	-	-	63.43	-	-
Mars-Maya	04/2007	-	-	41.97	-	-
SHU-Maya	09/2000	-	-	9.11	-	-
Europe/Atlantic Basin						
Saharan-Urals	06/2008	-	-	46.03	-	-
Saharan-SHE	06/2007	-	-	14.93	-	-
Brent-Urals	06/2008	-	-	31.96	-	-
Brent-SHE	02/2007	-	-	16.43	-	-
Bonny-Urals	10/2007	01/2004	-	33.93	13.27	-
Bonny-SHE	07/2007	-	-	14.97	-	-
Middle East/Asia						
Tapis-Oman	04/2008	-	-	14.01	-	-
Tapis-Dubai	04/2008	-	-	21.03	-	-
Tapis-SHA	02/2009	-	-	10.07	-	-
Part 2: Across-area differentials						
Differential	Break 1	Break 2	Break 3	F-statistic		
				0 vs. 1	1 vs. 2	2 vs. 3
Light-medium						
Tapis-Urals	05/2008	07/2012	-	30.10	11.68	-
Tapis-Mars	01/2008	04/2011	-	15.37	10.93	-
Saharan-Oman	04/2008	-	-	15.67	-	-
Saharan-Dubai	04/2008	-	-	21.93	-	-
Saharan-Mars	01/2002	-	-	11.72	-	-
Brent-Oman	04/2008	-	-	11.10	-	-
Brent-Dubai	04/2008	-	-	12.95	-	-
Brent-Mars [#]	01/2008	08/2013	-	7.02	32.04	-
Bonny-Oman [#]	06/2004	04/2008	-	7.18	15.96	-
Bonny-Dubai	06/2008	-	-	10.67	-	-
Bonny-Mars [#]	04/2008	07/2013	-	5.88	19.94	-
LLS-Oman	11/2008	-	-	47.92	-	-
LLS-Urals	05/2009	-	-	51.09	-	-
LLS-Dubai	12/2008	04/2005	-	45.17	13.59	-
HLS-Oman	10/2008	-	-	48.43	-	-
HLS-Urals	03/2007	04/2012	-	57.55	16.50	-
HLS-Dubai	10/2008	03/2005	-	48.57	16.74	-
Light-heavy						
Tapis-Maya	05/2007	-	-	34.67	-	-
Saharan-Maya	07/2007	-	-	29.95	-	-
Bonny-Maya	07/2007	-	-	26.37	-	-
Brent-Maya	05/2007	-	-	31.47	-	-
Medium-heavy						
Oman-Maya	05/2007	-	-	23.18	-	-
Dubai-Maya	03/2002	-	-	13.30	-	-
Urals-Maya	02/2002	-	-	14.53	-	-

Notes: Dates refer to the last month of a given regime. The order of the breaks is determined by the test. The critical values are 8.58, 10.13 and 11.14 for tests of 0 or 1 break, 1 or 2 breaks, and 2 or 3 breaks, respectively. These reflect a significance level of 5 percent. [#]: The test rejects the null of 1 break vs. 2 but fails to reject the null of 0 vs. 1.

Table E.5: Breakpoint test results for crudes of similar type

Part 1: Within-area differentials								
Differential	Break 1	Break 2	Break 3	Break 4	F-statistic			
					0 vs. 1	1 vs. 2	2 vs. 3	3 vs. 4
U.S. Gulf Coast								
LLS-HLS	01/2011	06/2014	-	-	11.80	14.65	-	-
Mars-SHU	02/2002	12/2009	-	-	25.76	31.48	-	-
Europe/Atlantic Basin								
Saharan-Brent	05/2000	-	-	-	27.93	-	-	-
Saharan-Bonny	12/2001	-	-	-	64.88	-	-	-
Brent-Bonny	03/2005	07/2014	-	-	18.77	32.24	-	-
Urals-SHE	01/2001	-	-	-	13.55	-	-	-
Middle East / Asia								
Oman-Dubai	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oman-SHA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dubai-SHA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Part 2: Across-area differentials								
Differential	Break 1	Break 2	Break 3	Break 4	F-statistic			
					0 vs. 1	1 vs. 2	2 vs. 3	3 vs. 4
Light-light								
WTIC-LLS	04/2010	11/2006	04/2001	07/2013	10.92	100.91	22.73	14.05
WTIM-LLS	01/2011	10/2006	04/2014	04/2001	14.58	243.76	30.84	12.53
LLS-Tapis	01/2005	-	-	-	35.71	-	-	-
LLS-Saharan	12/2010	02/2005	-	-	45.90	18.70	-	-
LLS-Brent	05/2011	12/2004	-	-	50.11	22.28	-	-
LLS-Bonny	02/2005	03/2011	-	-	56.57	16.17	-	-
HLS-Tapis	04/2004	-	-	-	38.41	-	-	-
HLS-Saharan	12/2004	07/2013	-	-	52.33	19.68	-	-
HLS-Brent	12/2004	07/2013	-	-	45.47	33.25	-	-
HLS-Bonny	01/2005	-	-	-	87.49	-	-	-
Medium-medium								
Oman-Mars [#]	01/2002	08/2013	-	-	8.58	26.69	-	-
Urals-Mars	07/2013	01/2002	10/2005	-	- 17.50	11.38	11.67	-
Dubai-Mars	08/2013	-	-	-	11.92	-	-	-
Oman-Urals	06/2010	-	-	-	10.97	-	-	-
Urals-Dubai	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Notes: Dates refer to the last month of a given regime. The order of the breaks is determined by the test. The critical values are 8.58, 10.13, 11.14 and 11.83 for tests of 0 or 1 break, 1 or 2 breaks, 2 or 3 breaks, and 3 or 4 breaks, respectively. These reflect a significance level of 5 percent. #: The test rejects the null of 1 break vs. 2 but fails to reject the null of 0 vs. 1.

Table E.6: Regression constant across regimes for crudes of different types

Within-area differentials

Differential	97	98	99	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	Change
WTIM-WTS						0.080					0.025					0.003*					-0.077		
LLS-Mars	0.179					0.126					0.062										-0.117		
HLS-Mars	0.161					0.108					0.055										-0.106		
LLS-Maya	0.312										0.151										-0.161		
HLS-Maya	0.292										0.142										-0.150		
LLS-SHU	0.343					0.240					0.167					0.102					-0.241		
HLS-SHU	0.325					0.223					0.168					0.095					-0.230		
SHU-Maya	-0.018*										0.043										0.061		
Mars-Maya	0.158										0.083										-0.075		
Saharan-Urals	0.073										0.023										-0.050		
Saharan-SHE	0.208										0.091										-0.117		
Brent-Urals	0.061										0.018										-0.043		
Brent-SHE	0.198										0.087										-0.111		
Bonny-Urals	0.055					0.103					0.035										-0.020		
Bonny-SHE	0.204										0.101										-0.103		
Tapis-Oman	0.117										0.069										-0.048		
Tapis-Dubai	0.131										0.074										-0.057		
Tapis-SHA	0.195										0.109										-0.086		

Notes: Change is the difference between the final regime and the first regime for each regression equation. A * means the coefficient is not statistically different from 0 at a 5 percent confidence level. In the table, breaks that occur from July to December in a particular year are assigned to the following year.

Table E.7: Regression constant across regimes for crudes of different types

Across-area: Light-medium

Differential	97	98	99	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	Change
Tapis-Urals					0.122								0.074				0.055				-0.067		
Tapis-Mars					0.150								0.080		0.110						-0.040		
Saharan-Oman					0.067								0.029								-0.038		
Saharan-Dubai					0.082								0.035								-0.047		
Saharan-Mars					0.121								0.069								-0.052		
Brent-Oman					0.055								0.024								-0.031		
Brent-Dubai					0.069								0.030								-0.039		
Brent-Mars					0.088								0.033				0.080				-0.008		
Bonny-Oman					0.048				0.099				0.040								-0.008		
Bonny-Dubai					0.080								0.045								-0.035		
Bonny-Mars					0.098								0.054				0.089				-0.009		
LLS-Oman					0.117								0.030								-0.087		
LLS-Urals					0.121								0.020								-0.101		
LLS-Dubai					0.143				0.100				0.035								-0.108		
HLS-Oman					0.098								0.021								-0.077		
HLS-Urals					0.108								0.047				0.003*				-0.105		
HLS-Dubai					0.124				0.083				0.027								-0.097		

Across-area: Light-heavy

Differential	97	98	99	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	Change
Tapis-Maya					0.308								0.189								-0.119		
Saharan-Maya					0.258								0.146								-0.112		
Brent-Maya					0.246								0.141								-0.105		
Bonny-Maya					0.255								0.156								-0.099		

Across-area: Medium-heavy

Differential	97	98	99	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	Change
Oman-Maya					0.192								0.113								-0.079		
Dubai-Maya					0.209								0.119								-0.089		
Urals-Maya					0.219								0.130								-0.089		

Notes: Change is the difference between the final regime and the first regime for each regression equation. A * means the coefficient is not statistically different from 0 at a 5 percent confidence level. In the table, breaks that occur from July to December in a particular year are assigned to the following year.

Table E.8: Regression constant across regimes for crudes of the same type

Light-light differentials

Differential	97	98	99	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	Change
WTIC-LLS	0.008*			-0.005				-0.038			-0.139			-0.055				-0.050					
WTIM-LLS	-0.005			-0.011				-0.042			-0.164			-0.097				-0.092					
LLS-HLS	0.019						-0.002*			0.012				-0.007									
LLS-Tapis	0.015						-0.035						-0.050										
LLS-Saharan	0.062						0.029			-0.008*						-0.070							
LLS-Brent	0.074						0.039			-0.005*						-0.079							
LLS-Bonny	0.070						0.015			-0.018*						-0.088							
HLS-Tapis	-0.002*				-0.045								-0.043										
HLS-Saharan	0.044						0.008			-0.022						-0.066							
HLS-Brent	0.056						0.017			-0.019						-0.075							
HLS-Bonny	0.051						-0.015						-0.066										
Saharan-Brent	0.024			0.006						-0.018													
Brent-Bonny	-0.004*						-0.023			-0.007				-0.003									

Medium-medium differentials

Differential	97	98	99	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	Change
Mars-SHU	0.166				0.086						0.039						-0.127						
Oman-Mars	0.053				0.014						0.052						-0.001						
Oman-SHA	0.063						-																
Urals-Mars	0.053				-0.010*			0.020			0.060						+0.007						
Urals-SHE	0.189				0.077						-0.112												
Dubai-Mars	0.014						0.046						+0.032										
Dubai-SHA	0.053						-																

Notes: Change is the difference between the final regime and the first regime for each regression equation. A * means the coefficient is not statistically different from 0 at a 5 percent confidence level. In the table, breaks that occur from July to December in a particular year are assigned to the following year.

Table E.9: Summary statistics pre and post-break

Differential	Part 1: Within-area differentials					
	Pre-break		Post-break		Ratio of mean (Post/pre)	Ratio of std. dev. (post/pre)
	Mean	Standard deviation	Mean	Standard deviation		
Midland, TX						
WTIM-WTS	0.076	0.028	0.01	0.016	0.13	0.57
U.S. Gulf Coast						
LLS-Mars	0.149	0.048	0.062	0.028	0.42	0.58
LLS-SHU	0.270	0.102	0.105	0.040	0.39	0.39
LLS-Maya	0.299	0.086	0.141	0.054	0.47	0.63
HLS-Mars	0.128	0.049	0.054	0.022	0.42	0.45
HLS-SHU	0.250	0.100	0.097	0.033	0.39	0.33
HLS-Maya	0.279	0.083	0.133	0.049	0.48	0.59
Mars-Maya	0.151	0.056	0.079	0.038	0.52	0.68
Europe/Atlantic Basin						
Saharan-Urals	0.070	0.035	0.023	0.020	0.33	0.57
Saharan-SHE	0.195	0.092	0.089	0.046	0.46	0.50
Brent-Urals	0.058	0.036	0.018	0.017	0.31	0.47
Brent-SHE	0.183	0.088	0.084	0.044	0.46	0.50
Bonny-Urals	0.070	0.038	0.033	0.017	0.47	0.45
Bonny-SHE	0.194	0.085	0.099	0.039	0.51	0.46
Middle East/Asia						
Tapis-Oman	0.114	0.053	0.069	0.031	0.61	0.58
Tapis-Dubai	0.128	0.053	0.074	0.031	0.58	0.58
Tapis-SHA	0.196	0.091	0.110	0.049	0.56	0.54
Part 2: Across-area differentials						
Differential	Pre-break		Post-break		Ratio of mean (Post/pre)	Ratio of std. dev. (post/pre)
	Mean	Standard deviation	Mean	Standard deviation		
Light-medium						
Tapis-Urals	0.119	0.058	0.063	0.022	0.53	0.38
Saharan-Oman	0.065	0.045	0.029	0.031	0.45	0.69
Saharan-Dubai	0.079	0.046	0.035	0.030	0.44	0.65
Brent-Oman	0.053	0.042	0.024	0.025	0.45	0.60
Brent-Dubai	0.067	0.045	0.030	0.024	0.45	0.53
Bonny-Oman	0.065	0.044	0.039	0.025	0.60	0.57
Bonny-Dubai	0.079	0.046	0.044	0.024	0.56	0.52
LLS-Oman	0.116	0.049	0.030	0.037	0.26	0.76
LLS-Urals	0.121	0.049	0.023	0.037	0.19	0.76
LLS-Dubai	0.130	0.050	0.035	0.037	0.27	0.74
HLS-Oman	0.097	0.048	0.021	0.035	0.22	0.73
HLS-Urals	0.101	0.046	0.015	0.033	0.15	0.71
HLS-Dubai	0.111	0.050	0.027	0.035	0.24	0.70
Light-heavy						
Tapis-Maya	0.296	0.089	0.181	0.052	0.61	0.58
Saharan-Maya	0.248	0.082	0.141	0.057	0.57	0.70
Brent-Maya	0.236	0.078	0.136	0.053	0.58	0.68
Bonny-Maya	0.236	0.078	0.151	0.050	0.64	0.64
Medium-heavy						
Oman-Maya	0.183	0.072	0.112	0.045	0.61	0.63

Notes: The pre-break sample runs from Jan. 1997 to Dec. 2008. The post-break sample runs from Jan. 2009 to July 2018.

Table E.10: Unit root test results for monthly data

Differential	SIC	
	ADF	ADF (BP)
WTIM-WTS	-2.90	-6.76
	(0.05)	(<0.01)
LLS-HLS	-6.09	-7.03
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)
LLS-Mars	-2.53	-5.27
	(0.11)	(<0.01)
LLS-SHU	-2.51	-4.29
	(0.11)	(<0.01)
LLS-Maya	-2.96	-5.62
	(0.04)	(<0.01)
HLS-Mars	-2.15	-5.69
	(0.23)	(<0.01)
HLS-SHU	-2.42	-6.11
	(0.14)	(<0.01)
HLS-Maya	-3.02	-5.77
	(0.03)	(<0.01)
Mars-Maya	-4.68	-7.77
	(<0.01)	<0.01
SHU-Maya	-5.21	-5.16
	(<0.01)	<0.01
Saharan-Urals	-3.99	- 5.79
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)
Saharan-SHE	-3.55	-4.76
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)
Brent-Urals	-4.23	-5.77
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)
Brent-SHE	-3.70	-5.00
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)
Bonny-Urals	-4.89	-6.23
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)
Bonny-SHE	-3.85	-5.00
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)
Tapis-Oman	- 3.72	- 6.75
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)
Tapis-Dubai	-5.74	-7.01
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)
Tapis-SHA	-4.74	-5.54
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)
Oman-Dubai	-5.09	-4.68
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)

Notes: For each differential, the first row shows the test statistics for the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) and the ADF breakpoint (ADF BP) tests. The second row shows the p-value for the test. Bold text identifies a case where the null of a unit root would not be rejected at a one percent significance level.

Table E.11: Unit root test results for monthly data

Differential	SIC		Differential	SIC	
	ADF	ADF (BP)		ADF	ADF (BP)
Tapis-Urals	-3.75	-8.75	Bonny-Maya	-4.34	-5.60
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)		(<0.01)	(<0.01)
Tapis-Mars	- 6.62	-7.61	Oman-Maya	-5.58	-6.98
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)		(<0.01)	(<0.01)
Saharan-Oman	-5.81	-6.63	Urals-Maya	-5.67	-6.87
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)		(<0.01)	(<0.01)
Saharan-Dubai	-5.49	-6.56	Dubai-Maya	-4.88	-5.94
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)		(<0.01)	(<0.01)
Saharan-Mars	-5.87	-6.75	WTIC-LLS	-2.35	-4.29
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)		(0.16)	(<0.01)
Brent-Oman	-5.79	-6.44	WTIM-LLS	-2.32	-4.63
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)		(0.17)	(<0.01)
Brent-Dubai	-5.12	-5.91	LLS-Tapis	-6.73	-8.49
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)		(<0.01)	(<0.01)
Brent-Mars	-6.15	-6.81	LLS-Saharan	-3.17	7.51
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)		(0.02)	(<0.01)
Bonny-Oman	-6.68	-7.08	LLS-Brent	-2.82	-6.67
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)		(0.06)	(<0.01)
Bonny-Dubai	-6.17	-6.85	LLS-Bonny	-2.62	-5.77
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)		(0.09)	(<0.01)
Bonny-Mars	-7.00	-7.49	HLS-Tapis	-7.94	-9.77
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)		(<0.01)	(<0.01)
LLS-Oman	-3.88	-5.74	HLS-Saharan	-3.87	-8.56
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)		(<0.01)	(<0.01)
LLS-Urals	-2.97	-5.08	HLS-Brent	-3.45	-7.46
	(0.04)	(<0.01)		(0.01)	(<0.01)
LLS-Dubai	-3.58	-5.49	HLS-Bonny	-2.70	-9.45
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)		(0.08)	(<0.01)
HLS-Oman	-4.61	-6.48	Oman-Urals	-8.77	-9.25
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)		(<0.01)	(<0.01)
HLS-Urals	-3.50	-7.24	Oman-Mars	-8.03	-8.47
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)		(<0.01)	(<0.01)
HLS-Dubai	-4.26	-6.17	Urals-Dubai	-8.58	-8.57
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)		(<0.01)	(<0.01)
Tapis-Maya	-4.69	-6.39	Urals-Mars	-7.41	-7.71
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)		(<0.01)	(<0.01)
Saharan-Maya	-4.47	5.98	Dubai-Mars	-6.99	-7.37
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)		(<0.01)	(<0.01)
Brent-Maya	-4.37	-5.95			
	(<0.01)	(<0.01)			

Notes: For each differential, the first row shows the test statistics for the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) and the ADF breakpoint (ADF BP) tests. The second row shows the p-value for the test. Bold text identifies a case where the null of a unit root would not be rejected at a one percent significance level.

F Additional refinery data

F.1 U.S. refinery data

The U.S. refinery data comes from the Energy Information Administration. We use the “Downstream processing of fresh feed input” series and the “Downstream charge capacity” series to construct utilization rates for U.S. conversion capacity. The fresh feed input series is available at <https://www.eia.gov/dnav/pet/pet`pnp`dwns`dc`nus`mbblpd`m.htm>. The charge capacity data is available at <https://www.eia.gov/dnav/pet/pet`pnp`capchg`dcu`nus`a.htm>. There is a single series available for the input and capacity for cokers. For the cracker data we combine the input and capacity series for catalytic cracking and catalytic hydrocracking. The units for the capacity data is barrels per calendar day, which means the capacity series is adjusted to take into account normal downtime at those units. As a result, utilization rates at or above 100 percent are theoretically possible. Capacity data is unavailable in 1996 and 1998. For those two years, we average the capacity data from the preceding and following year to construct an estimate. Tables [F.1](#) and [F.2](#) show the full time series for the U.S. and the U.S. Gulf Coast.

Table F.1: U.S. conversion capacity data

Year	Cracking			Coking		
	Capacity	Input	Utilization	Capacity	Input	Utilization
1987	6058	5316	87.7	1347	1265	93.9
1988	6235	5445	87.3	1394	1364	97.8
1989	6172	5505	89.2	1394	1345	96.5
1990	6322	5635	89.1	1425	1356	95.2
1991	6458	5794	89.7	1499	1423	94.9
1992	6554	5849	89.2	1459	1456	99.8
1993	6520	5990	91.9	1555	1514	97.4
1994	6491	5856	90.2	1635	1540	94.2
1995	6498	5964	91.8	1677	1574	93.9
1996	6513	6049	92.9	1708	1654	96.8
1997	6529	6181	94.7	1739	1691	97.2
1998	6711	6257	93.2	1797	1752	97.5
1999	6893	6234	90.4	1854	1758	94.8
2000	7004	6375	91.0	1962	1790	91.2
2001	7081	6312	89.1	2070	1963	94.8
2002	7097	6408	90.3	2148	2035	94.7
2003	7138	6498	91.0	2173	2026	93.2
2004	7231	6689	92.5	2247	2060	91.7
2005	7258	6441	88.7	2296	2054	89.5
2006	7275	6501	89.4	2330	2085	89.5
2007	7451	6533	87.7	2359	2034	86.2
2008	7456	6254	83.9	2390	1990	83.3
2009	7440	6196	83.3	2429	1908	78.6
2010	7339	6295	85.8	2388	1996	83.6
2011	7482	6419	85.8	2397	2094	87.4
2012	7318	6430	87.9	2499	2177	87.1
2013	7569	6481	85.6	2596	2303	88.7
2014	7651	6548	85.6	2687	2337	87.0
2015	7707	6545	84.9	2686	2352	87.6
2016	7718	6773	87.8	2651	2396	90.4
2017	7751	6817	87.9	2689	2379	88.5

Notes: Units for capacity and inputs are in thousands of barrels per day. Utilization is inputs divided by capacity multiplied by 100.

Table F.2: U.S. Gulf Coast conversion capacity data

Year	Cracking			Coking		
	Capacity	Input	Utilization	Capacity	Input	Utilization
1987	2827	2460	87.0	535	509	95.1
1988	2955	2527	85.5	556	552	99.3
1989	2882	2601	90.2	542	563	103.9
1990	2979	2651	89.0	566	552	97.6
1991	3036	2666	87.8	612	588	96.1
1992	3132	2663	85.0	612	623	101.8
1993	3077	2794	90.8	639	653	102.2
1994	3071	2710	88.2	698	680	97.4
1995	3084	2796	90.7	715	724	101.3
1996	3158	2927	92.7	756	778	102.9
1997	3232	2977	92.1	797	773	97.0
1998	3298	3019	91.5	840	817	97.2
1999	3364	3047	90.6	884	857	97.0
2000	3474	3115	89.7	923	843	91.3
2001	3508	3089	88.1	1009	999	99.0
2002	3563	3181	89.3	1086	1095	100.9
2003	3614	3236	89.5	1133	1086	95.8
2004	3640	3354	92.1	1206	1141	94.6
2005	3642	3073	84.4	1229	1132	92.1
2006	3616	3134	86.7	1255	1173	93.5
2007	3627	3181	87.7	1274	1152	90.4
2008	3646	3009	82.5	1282	1073	83.7
2009	3661	3117	85.1	1294	1041	80.5
2010	3780	3242	85.8	1322	1114	84.3
2011	3775	3315	87.8	1318	1183	89.7
2012	3777	3353	88.8	1373	1223	89.1
2013	3910	3452	88.3	1459	1299	89.0
2014	4035	3477	86.2	1479	1312	88.7
2015	4084	3554	87.0	1490	1347	90.4
2016	4062	3612	88.9	1458	1351	92.7
2017	4073	3559	87.4	1485	1338	90.1

Notes: Units for capacity and inputs are in thousands of barrels per day. Utilization is inputs divided by capacity multiplied by 100.

F.2 Other refinery data

Table F.3 shows the full time series available from the International Energy Agency for refinery capacity additions. Table F.4 shows the full time series available from Eni reports along with the source year for each observation.

Table F.3: Refinery capacity additions based on International Energy Agency data

Year	Primary	Upgrading	Desulphurisation
2006	1.256	0.735	2.684
2007	0.786	0.573	0.838
2008	1.125	1.069	1.396
2009	2.236	1.643	2.755
2010	1.026	0.643	1.645
2011	0.652	1.078	1.096
2012	0.555	1.037	1.066
2013	0.547	0.918	1.433
2014	0.832	1.023	1.032
2015	1.44	1.473	1.419
2016	0.033	0.757	0.329
2017	0.75	0.326	0.466
2018	1.002	0.965	0.929

Notes: Units are growth rates in millions of barrels per day. The International Energy Agency tables list the data as Refining Capacity Additions and Expansions, Upgrading Capacity Additions, and Desulphurisation Capacity Additions. The sources are Medium-Term Oil Market Reports for 2006 - 2009, Medium-Term Oil & Gas Markets 2010 - 2011, Medium-Term Oil Market Reports for 2012 - 2016, and Oil Market Reports for 2017 - 2019.

Table F.4: Full time series from Eni publications

Year	Primary capacity	Conversion capacity	Conversion ratio	Nelson Complexity	Source
1995	76.3	27.5	0.36	7.9	Eni 2013
1996	77.8	28.0	0.36	N/A	Eni 2008
1997	80.0	29.6	0.37	N/A	Eni 2006
1999	82.1	31.2	0.38	N/A	Eni 2008
2000	83.2	31.6	0.38	7.9	Eni 2018
2001	84.7	33.9	0.40	N/A	Eni 2006
2003	85.5	36.7	0.43	N/A	Eni 2008
2005	87.3	37.5	0.43	8.2	Eni 2018
2007	89.2	40.1	0.45	N/A	Eni 2008
2010	92.4	43.4	0.47	8.7	Eni 2018
2011	93.4	46.7	0.50	7.8	Eni 2012
2012	94.0	47.9	0.51	8.0	Eni 2013
2013	95.1	49.4	0.52	8.0	Eni 2014
2014	96.3	48.1	0.50	9.0	Eni 2015
2015	96.5	50.2	0.52	9.1	Eni 2016
2016	98.1	52.0	0.53	9.3	Eni 2017
2017	98.7	53.3	0.54	9.3	Eni 2018

Notes: Units for capacity are millions of barrels per day. Conversion ratio is conversion capacity divided by primary capacity. Eni 2018 refers to the World Oil Review 2018 volume 1. The other reports are titled World Oil & Gas Review.

References

- AGERTON, M. AND G. B. UPTON JR. (2019): “Decomposing Crude Price Differentials: Domestic Shipping Constraints or the Crude Oil Export Ban?” *The Energy Journal*, 40, <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2942989>.
- BACON, R. AND S. TORDO (2005): “Crude oil price differentials and differences in oil qualities: A statistical analysis,” ESMAP Technical Paper.
- FATTOUH, B. (2010): “The dynamics of crude oil price differentials,” *Energy Economics*, 32, 334–342, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2009.06.007>.
- GIULIETTI, M., A. M. IREGUI, AND J. OTERO (2015): “A pair-wise analysis of the law of one price: Evidence from the crude oil market,” *Economics Letters*, 129, 39–41, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2015.02.002>.
- PERRON, P. (1989): “The great crash, the oil price shock, and the unit root hypothesis,” *Econometrica*, 1361–1401, <https://doi.org/10.2307/1913712>.